arXiv:1509.09107v2 [math.AP] 26 Oct 2015

Monotonicity, continuity and differentiability results for the L^p Hardy constant

Gerassimos Barbatis* and Pier Domenico Lamberti †

Abstract

We consider the L^p Hardy inequality involving the distance to the boundary for a domain in the n-dimensional Euclidean space. We study the dependence on p of the corresponding best constant and we prove monotonicity, continuity and differentiability results. The focus is on non-convex domains in which case such constant is in general not explicitly known.

Keywords: Hardy constant, p-dependence, monotonicity, stability

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15, 35P15, 35P30

1 Introduction

Given a bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p \in]1,\infty[$, we say that the L^p Hardy inequality holds in Ω if there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx \geq c \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^p} dx\,, \qquad \text{for all } u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \tag{1.1}$$

where $d(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, $x \in \Omega$. The L^p Hardy constant of Ω is the best constant for inequality (1.1) and is denoted here by H_p.

It is well-known that the L^p Hardy inequality holds for all $p \in]1,\infty[$ under weak regularity assumptions on Ω, for example if Ω has a Lipschitz boundary. Moreover, if Ω is convex, and more generally if it is weakly mean convex, i.e. if $\Delta d \leq 0$ in the distributional sense in Ω, then $H_p = ((p-1)/p)^p$; see [20, 4]. If Ω is not weakly mean convex, little is known about the precise value of H_p and the available results only hold for $p = 2$ and for special domains, for example circular sectors and quadrilaterals in the plane. We refer to [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 17, 20] for more information. We also refer to the monograph [14] for an introduction to the study of Hardy and Hardy-type inequalities with a historical perspective.

*Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, 15784 Athens, Greece

†Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Padova, Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy

In this article we study the dependence of H_p upon variation of p and we prove four main results. First, we prove that $p(1+H_p^{1/p})$ is a non decreasing function of $p \in]1,\infty[$, and this is done without any smoothness assumption on Ω, see Theorem 2. In particular, it easily follows that H_p is right-continuous at any point $p \in]1,\infty[$. Second, we prove that if Ω is of class C^2 then H_p is also left-continuous, hence it is continuous on $]1,\infty[$, see Theorem 6. Third, we prove that if Ω is of class C^2 then H_p is differentiable at any point $p \in]1,\infty[$ such that $H_p < ((p-1)/p)^p$, and we compute a formula for the corresponding derivative, see Theorem 8.

We note that the proofs of our continuity and differentiability results exploit a result by [20], where it was shown in particular that if $H_p < ((p-1)/p)^p$ then equality is attained in (1.1) for some function $u_p \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ which behaves like d_Ω^α near $\partial\Omega$ for a suitable $\alpha \in]0,1[$. Importantly, the results of [20] are proved under the assumption that Ω is of class C^2, and removing that assumption is not easy. The function u_p is uniquely identified by the extra normalizing conditions $u_p > 0$ and $\int_\Omega u_p^p/d^p dx = 1$. The fourth main result of the paper is a continuity result for the dependence of u_p and ∇u_p on p, see Theorem 7.

As is well-known, if equality is attained in (1.1) for some nontrivial function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then u is a minimizer for the Hardy quotient

$$R_p[u] := \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^p} dx} \tag{1.2}$$

and solves the equation

$$-\Delta_p u = H_p \frac{|u|^{p-2}u}{d^p}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Delta_p u = \mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the p-Laplacian.

Problem (1.3) is a singular variant of the well-known eigenvalue problem for the Dirichlet p-Laplacian

$$-\Delta_p u = \lambda_p |u|^{p-2}u, \tag{1.4}$$

where H_p is replaced by the first eigenvalue λ_p of the p-Laplacian, which in turn is the minimum over $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\setminus\{0\}$ of the Rayleigh quotient

$$\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |u|^p dx}. \tag{1.5}$$

The study of the dependence of λ_p on p was initiated in the article [18] which has inspired many authors, ourselves included. We refer to [1, 10, 12] for recent closely related results. In fact, the proofs of our monotonicity and continuity results exploit some ideas of [18]. However, we point out that although the two problems (1.3) and (1.4) look similar, they are radically different. For example, if Ω has finite Lebesgue measure, the Rayleigh quotient (1.5) has always a minimizer and if Ω is also sufficiently smooth, the gradient of such minimizer does not blow up at the boundary. As is well-known, one of the main differences between the

two problems is related to the lack of compactness for the embedding of the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into the natural weighted space $L^p(\Omega, d^{-p}dx)$, which is also responsible for the appearence of a large essential spectrum for problem (1.3) in the case $p = 2$. Thus, the study of the dependence of H_p on p, leads to a number of difficulties which require a detailed analysis.

We point out the our differentiability result can also be proved, with obvious simplifications, for the dependence of λ_p on p. Since we have not found such result in the literature, we find it natural to state it in the Appendix.

2 Preliminaries

Unless otherwise indicated, by Ω we denote a bounded domain (i.e. a bounded open connected set) in $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $p \in]1, +\infty[$ we denote by $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the standard Sobolev space and by $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the closure in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of the space $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ of all C^∞-functions with compact support in Ω.

The L^p Hardy constant is defined by

$$H_p = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), u \neq 0} R_p[u], \tag{2.1}$$

and if $H_p > 0$ we say that the L^p Hardy inequality is valid on Ω.

It is well known that if Ω has a Lipschitz continuous boundary then $0 < H_p \leq ((p-1)/p)^p$. It is also known that if Ω is of class C^2 then there exists a minimizer u in (2.1) if and only if $H_p < ((p-1)/p)^p$, see [20, 21]; moreover, the minimizer is unique up to a multiplicative constant, can be chosen to be positive and there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$c^{-1} d(x)^{\alpha_p} \leq u(x) \leq c d(x)^{\alpha_p}, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha_p \in](p-1)/p, 1[$ denotes the largest solution to the equation

$$(p-1)\alpha^{p-1}(1-\alpha) = H_p. \tag{2.3}$$

We set for simplicity

$$\mathcal{A} = \{p \in]1, \infty[: \ H_p < ((p-1)/p)^p\} \ .$$

In the sequel and provided Ω is C^2 we shall denote for any $p \in \mathcal{A}$ by u_p the positive minimizer normalized by the condition $\int_\Omega |u_p/d|^p dx = 1$. Inequalities (2.2) suggest that ∇u_p behaves like $d^{\alpha_p - 1}$ close to the boundary of Ω. In fact we can prove the following lemma which is a variant of [3, Thm. 4] providing further information on the dependence of the constants on p. We emphasize that in this lemma we do not assume that H_p depends continuously on p.

Lemma 1 *Assume that Ω is of class C^2 and $p_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. There exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$u_p(x) \leq c d^{\alpha_p}(x), \quad |\nabla u_p(x)| \leq c d^{\alpha_p - 1}(x), \tag{2.4}$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{A}$ sufficiently close to p_0 and for all $x \in \Omega$. In particular, $u_p \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1, 1/(1-\alpha_p)[$.

Proof. The existence for each $p \in \mathcal{A}$ of a constant $c = c(p) > 0$ such that the first inequality in (2.4) holds has been proved in [20, Lemma 9] and [21, Lemma 5.2]. The existence for each $p \in \mathcal{A}$ of a constant $c = c(p) > 0$ such that the second inequality in (2.4) holds has been proved in [3, Theorem 4]. We shall now show that $c(p)$ can be chosen so that it is locally bounded with respect to $p \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{A}$ and let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a positive minimizer of the L^p Hardy constant normalized by $\int_\Omega u^p/d^p dx = 1$. Let α be as in (2.3). For any $\beta > 0$, we set $\Omega_\beta = \{x \in \Omega : \ d(x) < \beta\}$. Let $\beta_0 > 0$ be small enough so that $d(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable in $\Omega_{2\beta_0}$. Following [20, 21], we define

$$v = d^\alpha(1-d).$$

A direct computation gives that in $\Omega_{2\beta_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_p v - H_p \frac{v^{p-1}}{d^p} = & \\
&= (p-1)\alpha^{p-1} d^{\alpha p-\alpha-p} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \left[\left(1-(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})d\right)^{p-1} - \left(1-d\right)^{p-1} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. +(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})\left(1-(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})d\right)^{p-2} d \right\} \\
&\quad -\alpha^{p-1} d^{\alpha p-\alpha-p+1}\left(1-(1+\frac{1}{\alpha})d\right)^{p-1} \Delta d \\
&= d^{\alpha p-\alpha-p}(A + B\, d\Delta d)\,, \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where terms in A do not involve Δd. We expand A in powers of d and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= (p-1)\alpha^{p-2}(\alpha p - p + 2)d + O(d^2) \\
&\geq (p-1)\alpha^{p-2} d + O(d^2).
\end{aligned}$$

It can easily be verified that the coefficient of d^2 is locally bounded with respect to $p \in]1, +\infty[$. Hence there exists $\beta_1 \in]0, \beta_0[$ which is locally bounded away from zero with respect to p such that

$$A \geq \frac{(p-1)\alpha^{p-2}}{2} d\,, \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\beta_1}. \qquad (2.6)$$

Since Δd is bounded in Ω_{β_0}, it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that there exists $\beta_2 \in]0, \beta_1[$ bounded away from zero locally in $p \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$-\Delta_p v - H_p \frac{v^{p-1}}{d^p} \geq 0 \ , \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\beta_2}.$$

Now, let

$$C_1(p) = \sup\left\{u(x) \ : \ x \in \{d(x) = \beta_2\}\right\}.$$

The constant $C_1(p)$ is finite by standard regularity results for quasilinear elliptic equations. Looking e.g. at the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 of the classical paper

of Serrin [22] we can trace the dependence of $C_1(p)$ in p for $p \le n$ and see that it is locally bounded for $p \le n$. As mentioned in [22], the case $p > n$ is simpler since the result follows by the Sobolev embedding. We note that the fact that the Sobolev constant blows-up as $p \to n^+$ is not a problem, since the argument used in [22, Theorem 2] for $p = n$ can be extended without changes to include all p in a neighborhood of n. We omit the details.

Defining next $C^* = C_1/(\beta_2^\alpha(1-\beta_2))$, we then have

$$C^* = \sup\Big\{\frac{u(x)}{v(x)}\,,\ x \in \{d(x) = \beta_2\}\Big\}.$$

Applying [21, Proposition 3.1] we conclude that

$$u(x) \le C^* v(x) \le C^* d^\alpha\ , \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\beta_2}.$$

This estimate clearly holds true also in $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\beta_2}$, with a constant C^* still remaining locally bounded with respect to $p \in \mathcal{A}$, completing the proof of the first estimate of (2.4).

For the second inequality we apply the regularity estimates of [11, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2], as was done in [3]. The constants involved are locally bounded in p (see in particular [11, Remark 5.1]). This completes the proof. □

3 Monotonicity and continuity of the Hardy constant

The following theorem holds without any smoothness assumption of Ω (not even the boundedness of Ω is actually required) and is inspired by the monotonicity result proved in Lindqvist [18] for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian.

Theorem 2 *The function*

$$p \mapsto p(1 + H_p^{1/p})$$

is non-decreasing in $]1, +\infty[$.

Proof. Let $1 < p < s$ and let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. Then the function

$$u = |\psi|^{\frac{s}{p}} d^{1-\frac{s}{p}}$$

belongs to $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx\Big)^{1/p} &= \Big(\int_\Omega \Big|\frac{s}{p}\big(\frac{|\psi|}{d}\big)^{\frac{s}{p}-1}\nabla\psi + \big(1-\frac{s}{p}\big)\big(\frac{|\psi|}{d}\big)^{\frac{s}{p}}\nabla d\Big|^p dx\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \frac{s}{p}\Big(\int_\Omega \big(\frac{|\psi|}{d}\big)^{s-p}|\nabla\psi|^p dx\Big)^{1/p} + \frac{s-p}{p}\Big(\int_\Omega \big(\frac{|\psi|}{d}\big)^s dx\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \frac{s}{p}\Big(\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi|^s dx\Big)^{1/s}\Big(\int_\Omega \big(\frac{|\psi|}{d}\big)^s dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{s}} + \frac{s-p}{p}\Big(\int_\Omega \big(\frac{|\psi|}{d}\big)^s dx\Big)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$H_p^{1/p} \le R_p[u]^{1/p} \le \frac{s}{p} R_s[\psi]^{1/s} + \frac{s-p}{p}.$$

Taking the infimum over all $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we conclude that

$$H_p^{1/p} \le \frac{s}{p} H_s^{1/s} + \frac{s-p}{p},$$

and the result follows. □

Remarks. (1) For $\alpha \in [0,1]$ let

$$\lambda_{\alpha,p} = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\displaystyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\displaystyle\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^{ap}} dx};$$

so $\lambda_{1,p} = H_p$ and $\lambda_{0,p} = \lambda_p$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet p-Laplacian in Ω (see the introduction). It has been shown in [18, Theorem 3.2] that the function $p \mapsto p\lambda_{0,p}^{1/p}$ is non-decreasing in $]1,\infty[$. In view of this and Theorem 2 it is tempting to believe that for any fixed $\alpha \in [0,1]$ the map $p \mapsto p(\alpha + \lambda_{\alpha,p}^{1/p})$ is non-decreasing in $]1,\infty[$. However it can be seen that the method of proof fails for $\alpha \in]0,1[$.

(2) It follows from Theorem 2 that the function $p \mapsto H_p$ has one-sided limits at every $p > 1$ and

$$\lim_{s \to p-} H_s \le H_p \le \lim_{s \to p+} H_s \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3 *We have*

$$\limsup_{s \to p} H_s = \lim_{s \to p+} H_s = H_p \ .$$

Proof. Given any $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we have $H_s \le R_s[u]$ and therefore

$$\limsup_{s \to p} H_s \le R_p[u].$$

Taking the infimum over all $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ we obtain $\limsup_{s \to p} H_s \le H_p$ which combined with (3.1) yields the result. □

In order to prove Theorem 6 we need the following lemmas. The first can be proved simply by differentiating under the integral sign.

Lemma 4 *Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be fixed. The functions defined by*

$$N(s) = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^s dx \quad , \qquad D(s) = \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^s}{d^s} dx$$

are differentiable in $]1,p[$ and

$$N'(s) = s \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^s \ln |\nabla u| dx \quad , \qquad D'(s) = s \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^s}{d^s} \ln \big(\frac{|u|}{d}\big) dx$$

for all $1 < s < p$.

Lemma 5 *Assume that Ω is of class C^2. We have*

$$\liminf_{s\to p} H_s \geq H_p\,.$$

Proof. It follows from (3.1) that

$$\liminf_{s\to p} H_s = \liminf_{s\to p-} H_s\,.$$

Suppose by contradiction that this liminf is a number $L < H_p$. Let s_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be an increasing sequence of exponents with $s_n \to p$ and $H_{s_n} \to L$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, since $L < H_p \leq (\frac{p-1}{p})^p$, we have that $H_{s_n} < (\frac{s_n-1}{s_n})^{s_n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficently large and therefore the L^{s_n}-Hardy quotient has a positive minimizer u_{s_n}. Let α_{s_n} be the corresponding exponents defined as in (2.3). It then follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_{s_n} > (p-1)/p$. Applying Lemma 1 we thus obtain that

$$\|u_{s_n}\|_{W_0^{1,p+\epsilon}(\Omega)} \leq M \tag{3.2}$$

for some fixed $\epsilon, M > 0$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H_p &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} R_p[u_{s_n}] \\ &= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Big(R_{s_n}[u_{s_n}] + \big\{ R_p[u_{s_n}] - R_{s_n}[u_{s_n}] \big\} \Big) \\ &= L + \liminf_{n\to\infty} \big(R_p[u_{s_n}] - R_{s_n}[u_{s_n}] \big). \end{aligned}$$

To reach a contradiction it is enough to prove that the last liminf is zero. Now, by Lemma 4 and (3.2) the function $s \mapsto R_s[u_{s_n}]$ is differentiable in (s_n, p) for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence by the Mean Value Theorem, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\xi_n \in (s_n, p)$ such that

$$R_p[u_{s_n}] - R_{s_n}[u_{s_n}] = (p - s_n) \frac{dR_p[u_{s_n}]}{dp}\bigg|_{p=\xi_n}\,.$$

From Lemma 4 and (3.2) easily follows that $\frac{dR_p[u_{s_n}]}{dp}\Big|_{p=\xi_n}$ remains bounded as $n \to \infty$. This concludes the proof. □

Theorem 6 *Let Ω be bounded with C^2 boundary. Then the function $p \mapsto H_p$ is continuous on $]1, \infty[$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 3 and 5. □

4 Differentiability of the Hardy constant

We recall that $\mathcal{A} = \{p \in]1, \infty[:\ H_p < ((p-1)/p)^p\}$. The proof of the following theorem is based on adapting the arguments of Lindqvist [18, Thm. 3.6].

Theorem 7 *Let Ω be of class C^2 and $p_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. Then for all p sufficiently close to p_0 we have $p \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u_p, u_{p_0} \in W^{1,\max\{p_0,p\}}(\Omega)$. Moreover*

$$\lim_{p\to p_0} \|u_p - u_{p_0}\|_{W^{1,\max\{p_0,p\}}(\Omega)} = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Theorem 6 and Lemma 1 easily imply that for p close enough to p_0 we have $p \in \mathcal{A}$ and, moreover, $u_p \in W^{1,p_0}(\Omega)$ and $u_{p_0} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

We now prove (4.1). Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed in such a way that $p_0 + 2\delta < 1/(1-\alpha_{p_0})$. By Theorem 6 and Lemma 1 it follows that there exists a constant $c > 0$ independent of p such that

$$\|u_p\|_{W^{1,p_0+\delta}(\Omega)} \leq c\,,$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{A}$ sufficiently close to p_0. Moreover, since Ω has C^2 boundary we have $u_p \in W_0^{1,p_0+\delta}(\Omega)$ for any such p.

By the reflexivity of the space $W_0^{1,p_0+\delta}(\Omega)$ and the Rellich-Kondrachov Theorem it follows that there exists $\tilde{u} \in W_0^{1,p_0+\delta}(\Omega)$ such that, up to taking a subsequence, $\nabla u_p \rightharpoonup \nabla \tilde{u}$ weakly in $L^{p_0+\delta}(\Omega)$ and $u_p \to \tilde{u}$ in $L^{p_0+\delta}(\Omega)$ as $p \to p_0$. Note that $\int_\Omega |\tilde{u}|^{p_0}/d^{p_0}dx = 1$, which can be deduced by passing to the limit as $p \to p_0$ in the equality $\int_\Omega |u_p|^p/d^p dx = 1$ and using the Dominated Convergence Theorem combined with estimates (2.4). In particular $\tilde{u} \neq 0$. Clearly, $\nabla u_p \rightharpoonup \nabla \tilde{u}$ weakly in $L^{p_0}(\Omega)$ hence

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p_0} dx \leq \liminf_{p\to p_0} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^{p_0} dx \tag{4.2}$$

as $p \to p_0$. By the Mean Value Theorem and Lemma 4 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^{p_0} dx &= \int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^p dx + (p_0 - p)\int_\Omega s_p |\nabla u_p|^{s_p} \ln|\nabla u_p| dx \\ &= H_p + (p_0 - p)\int_\Omega s_p |\nabla u_p|^{s_p} \ln|\nabla u_p| dx, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

for some real number s_p between p_0 and p. It is clear that by the uniform boundedness of the norms of u_p in $W_0^{1,p_0+\delta}(\Omega)$, the integrals $\int_\Omega s_p |\nabla u_p|^{s_p} \ln|\nabla u_p| dx$ are uniformly bounded for p close enough to p_0. Thus, by passing to the limit as $p \to p_0$ in (4.3) and using the continuity of the map $p \mapsto H_p$ it follows that

$$\lim_{p\to p_0} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^{p_0} dx = \lim_{p\to p_0} H_p = H_{p_0}. \tag{4.4}$$

This combined with (4.2) and condition $\int_\Omega |\tilde{u}|^{p_0}/d^{p_0}dx = 1$ implies that $\int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{u}|^{p_0} = H_{p_0}$. Thus, $\tilde{u} = u_{p_0}$.

As in [18, Thm. 3.6] we now use Clarkson's inequalities. If $\max\{p_0, p\} \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \left| \frac{\nabla u_p - \nabla u_{p_0}}{2} \right|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx \\
&\quad \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_{p_0}|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx \\
&\quad - \int_\Omega \left| \frac{\nabla u_p + \nabla u_{p_0}}{2} \right|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_{p_0}|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx - H_{\max\{p,p_0\}} \int_\Omega \left| \frac{u_p + u_{p_0}}{2d} \right|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx \quad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of the L^p-norm , it follows that

$$\lim_{p\to p_0} \int_\Omega |\nabla u_{p_0}|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla u_{p_0}|^{p_0} dx = H_{p_0}. \quad (4.6)$$

Moreover, using the Dominated Convergence Theorem combined with estimates (2.4) yields

$$\lim_{p\to p_0} \int_\Omega \left| \frac{u_p + u_{p_0}}{2d} \right|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx = \int_\Omega \left| \frac{u_{p_0}}{d} \right|^{p_0} dx = 1.$$

We then deduce from (4.4)-(4.6) and Theorem 6 that $\int_\Omega \left| \frac{\nabla u_p - \nabla u_{p_0}}{2} \right|^{\max\{p_0,p\}} dx \to 0$ as required. The case $p_0 < 2$ can be treated in a similar way using the appropriate Clarkson inequality for $p < 2$. □

Theorem 8 *Let Ω be of class C^2 . Then the map $p \mapsto H_p$ is of class C^1 on $\mathcal{A}$ and*

$$H_p' = p\int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^p \ln|\nabla u_p| dx - pH_p \int_\Omega \frac{u_p^p}{d^p} \ln\frac{u_p}{d^p} dx\,, \quad p \in \mathcal{A}\,. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Let $p_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ be fixed. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is an open set, if $p > 1$ is sufficiently close to p_0, we have that $p \in \mathcal{A}$ hence the minimizer u_p exists. Moreover, by Lemma 1 and Theorem 6, there exist $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ such that $p < 1/(1-\alpha_{p_0}) + \epsilon$ and

$$u_p \in W^{1,1/(1-\alpha_{p_0})+\epsilon}(\Omega), \quad (4.8)$$

for all $p \in]p_0 - \delta, p_0 + \delta[$. Since u_{p_0} and u_p minimize the corresponding Rayleigh quotients, we have

$$R_p[u_p] - R_{p_0}[u_p] \leq H_p - H_{p_0} \leq R_p[u_{p_0}] - R_{p_0}[u_{p_0}]. \quad (4.9)$$

By (4.8) and Lemma 4 we have that for any fixed $p \in]p_0 - \delta, p_0 + \delta[$, the maps $q \mapsto R_q[u_p]$ are differentiable on $]p_0 - \delta, p_0 + \delta[$, hence (4.9) implies that

$$R'_{p_\xi}[u_p](p - p_0) \leq H_p - H_{p_0} \leq R'_{p_\eta}[u_{p_0}](p - p_0) \quad (4.10)$$

for some p_ξ, p_η between p_0 and p. By Theorem 7 and estimates (2.4) one can prove that

$$R'_{p_\xi}[u_p],\ R'_{p_\eta}[u_{p_0}] \to R'_{p_0}[u_{p_0}], \quad \text{as } p \to p_0. \quad (4.11)$$

Indeed, by (4.1) it follows that possibly passing to subsequences $\lim_{p\to p_0} u_p(x) = u_{p_0}(x)$ a.e. in Ω which combined with estimates (2.4) allows passing to the limit under the integral signs in order to get (4.11). Thus, (4.10) and (4.11) imply that H_p is differentiable at $p = p_0$. Formula (4.7) for $p = p_0$ is then easily proved by using the formulas provided by Lemma 4.

Finally, in order to prove that the map $p \mapsto H_p'$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}$, one has simply to apply again Theorem 7 combined with estimates (2.4) as above. □

Remarks. (1) We note explicitly that since $H_p = \int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^p dx$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega |\nabla k u_p|^p \ln |\nabla k u_p| dx - H_p \int_\Omega \frac{|k u_p|^p}{d^p} \ln \frac{|k u_p|}{d} dx \\ &= |k|^p \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_p|^p \ln |\nabla u_p| dx - H_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u_p|^p}{d^p} \ln \frac{|u_p|}{d} dx \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$, with $k \neq 0$. In particular, it follows that if we consider a minimizer u for H_p which is not necessarily normalized as u_p then

$$H_p' = \frac{p \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p \ln |\nabla u| dx}{\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^p} dx} - \frac{p H_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^p} \ln \frac{|u|}{d^p} dx}{\int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^p} dx}. \tag{4.13}$$

(2) For all $p \in \mathcal{A}$ any minimizer u for H_p satisfies the following inequality

$$H_p \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^p} \ln \frac{|u|}{d} dx \leq \frac{H_p + H_p^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{p} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^p}{d^p} dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p \ln |\nabla u| dx \,. \tag{4.14}$$

Indeed, by Theorems 2, 8 the derivative of the function $p \mapsto p(1 + H_p^{1/p})$ is non-negative, hence inequality (4.14) follows by formula (4.13).

5 Appendix

The proof of Theorem 8 can be carried out also in the case of the first eigenvalue λ_p of the p-Laplacian defined by

$$\lambda_p = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),\ v \neq 0} \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla v|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |v|^p dx}, \tag{5.1}$$

see the Introduction. Recall that if Ω is a domain with finite measure then there exists a unique minimizer v_p in (5.1) satisfying the normalizing conditions $v_p > 0$ and $\int_\Omega v_p^p dx = 1$. See the classical paper [19] and also [13] for further discussions.

By using the same argument of the proof of Theorem 8 combined with the results in [18] concerning the continuous depencence of v_p on p (we refer in particular to the local convergence result [18, Thm. 6.3] which by [16] admits a natural global version in the case of domains of class $C^{1,\beta}$) one can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 9 *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of class $C^{1,\beta}$ with $\beta \in]0,1]$. Then the function $p \mapsto \lambda_p$ is of class C^1 on $]1,\infty[$ and*

$$\lambda_p' = p \int_\Omega |\nabla v_p|^p \ln |\nabla v_p| dx - p\lambda_p \int_\Omega v_p^p \ln v_p dx\,, \quad p \in]1,\infty[\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Acknowledgments. We acknowledge financial support from the research project 'Singular perturbation problems for differential operators' Progetto di Ateneo of the University of Padova. The second author acknowledges financial support also from the research project 'INdAM GNAMPA Project 2015 - Un approccio funzionale analitico per problemi di perturbazione singolare e di omogeneizzazione'. The second author is also member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

Both authors acknowledge the warm hospitality received by each other's institution on the occasion of several research visits.

References

[1] G. Anello, F. Faraci and A. Iannizzotto, On a problem of Huang concerning best constants in Sobolev embeddings, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **194** (2015), no. 3, 767-779.

[2] F.G. Avkhadiev, Families of domains with best possible Hardy constant, *Russian Mathematics (Iz. VUZ)*, **57** (2013), 49-52.

[3] G. Barbatis and P.D. Lamberti, Shape sensitivity analysis of the Hardy constant, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **103** (2014), 98-112.

[4] G. Barbatis, S. Filippas and A. Tertikas, A unified approach to improved L^p Hardy inequalities with best constants, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **356** (2004), 2169-2196.

[5] G. Barbatis and A. Tertikas, On the Hardy constant of non-convex planar domains: the case of the quadrilateral, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **266** (2014), 3701-3725.

[6] G. Barbatis and A. Tertikas, On the Hardy constant of some non-convex planar domains, to appear in Springer INdAM Series.

[7] H. Brezis and M. Marcus, Hardy's inequalities revisited, Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **25** (1997), 217-237.

[8] E.B. Davies, A review of Hardy inequalities, *Operator Theory Adv. Appl.*, **110** (1998), 511-523.

[9] E.B. Davies, The Hardy constant, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, **184** (1995), 417-431.

[10] M. Degiovanni and M. Marzocchi, On the dependence on p of the variational eigenvalues of the p-Laplace operator, arXiv:1504.07403.

[11] F. Duzaar and G. Mingione, Gradient estimates via linear and nonlinear potentials. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **259** (2010), 29612998.

[12] G. Ercole, Absolute continuity of the best Sobolev constant, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **404** (2013), no. 2, 420-428.

[13] G. Franzina and P.D. Lamberti, Existence and uniqueness for a p-Laplacian nonlinear eigenvalue problem, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2010, No. 26, 10 pp.

[14] A. Kufner, L. Maligranda and L.-E. Persson, The Hardy inequality: about Its history and some related results, Vydavatelsky Servis Publishing House, Pilsen, 2007.

[15] P.D. Lamberti, A differentiability result for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian upon domain perturbation, in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications: to V. Lakshmikantham on his 80th birthday. Vol. 1, 2, 741-754, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.

[16] G.M. Lieberman, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **12** (1988), no. 11, 1203-1219.

[17] A. Laptev and A. Sobolev, Hardy inequalities for simply connected planar domains, in *Spectral theory of differential operators*, 133-140, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 225, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[18] P. Lindqvist, On nonlinear Rayleigh quotients, *Potential Anal.* **2** (1993), no. 3, 199-218.

[19] P. Lindqvist, On the equation $div(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)+\lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1990), no. 1, 157-164.

[20] M. Marcus, V.J. Mizel and Y. Pinchover, On the best constant for Hardy's inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **350** (1998), 3237-3255.

[21] M. Marcus and I. Shafrir, An eigenvalue problem related to Hardy's Lp inequality, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **29** (2000), 581-604.

[22] J. Serrin, Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations. *Acta Math.*, **111**, 1964, 247-302.